

Building Communities, Investing in Local Government Since 1988
April 23, 2008

Mr. Robert Grossman Group Managing Director U. S. Public Finance Fitch Ratings 33 Whitehall Street, 27th Floor New York, NY 10004

Ms. Gail Sussman Group Managing Director Public Finance Moody's Investors Service 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007

Mr. William Montrone Head of U.S. Public Finance Department Standard & Poor's 55 Water Street New York, NY 10041

Dear Mr. Grossman, Ms. Sussman and Mr. Montrone,

The current system of assigning credit ratings to bonds issued by governmental entities which provide essential services to the public leads to indefensible market discrimination against state and local municipal issuers. The rating agencies' own studies show that the likelihood of default by municipal borrowers is much lower than for corporate borrowers with similar ratings. Ratings should be based primarily on an evaluation of the likelihood investors will suffer a loss due to default. Unfortunately, for municipal bonds, they are not. This practice costs taxpayers enormous amounts of money that could be invested in public programs and infrastructure.

We respectfully request that Standard & Poor's, Moody's and Fitch take prompt, affirmative action to end the market discrimination caused by your current rating system. Specifically, we request that you create appropriate categories of corporate equivalency

Founding Co-Sponsors:





ratings for municipal bonds. The goal should be the use of a single rating scale, applicable to all bonds you rate (whether municipal, corporate or structured finance) that principally reflects the likelihood of investor loss from a default.

Sincerely,

Paul McIntosh

Chairman

California Statewide

Communities Development

Authority

Chris McKenzie

Vice Chairman

California Statewide

Communities Development

Authority